

Analysis of Gene Expression Patterns during Seed Coat Development in *Arabidopsis*

Gillian Dean^a, YongGuo Cao^b, DaoQuan Xiang^b, Nicholas J. Provart^c, Larissa Ramsay^b, Abdul Ahad^a, Rick White^d, Gopalan Selvaraj^b, Raju Datla^b and George Haughn^{a,1}

a Department of Botany, University of British Columbia, 6270 University Blvd, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z4, Canada

b National Research Council of Canada Plant Biotechnology Institute, 110 Gymnasium Place, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 0W9, Canada

c Department of Cell and Systems Biology/Centre for the Analysis of Genome Evolution and Function, University of Toronto, 25 Willcocks St, Toronto, ON, M5S 3B2, Canada

d Department of Statistics, University of British Columbia, 6356 Agricultural Road, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z2, Canada

ABSTRACT The seed coat is important for embryo protection, seed hydration, and dispersal. Seed coat composition is also of interest to the agricultural sector, since it impacts the nutritional value for humans and livestock alike. Although some seed coat genes have been identified, the developmental pathways controlling seed coat development are not completely elucidated, and a global genetic program associated with seed coat development has not been reported. This study uses a combination of genetic and genomic approaches in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to begin to address these knowledge gaps. Seed coat development is a complex process whereby the integuments of the ovule differentiate into specialized cell types. In *Arabidopsis*, the outermost layer of cells secretes mucilage into the apoplast and develops a secondary cell wall known as a columella. The layer beneath the epidermis, the palisade, synthesizes a secondary cell wall on its inner tangential side. The innermost layer (the pigmented layer or endothelium) produces proanthocyanidins that condense into tannins and oxidize, giving a brown color to mature seeds. Genetic separation of these cell layers was achieved using the *ap2-7* and *tt16-1* mutants, where the epidermis/palisade and the endothelium do not develop respectively. This genetic ablation was exploited to examine the developmental programs of these cell types by isolating and collecting seed coats at key transitions during development and performing global gene expression analysis. The data indicate that the developmental programs of the epidermis and the pigmented layer proceed relatively independently. Global expression datasets that can be used for identification of new gene candidates for seed coat development were generated. These datasets provide a comprehensive expression profile for developing seed coats in *Arabidopsis*, and should provide a useful resource and reference for other seed systems.

Key words: Seed coat; microarray; APETALA2; TRANSPARENT TESTA16; mucilage; pectin; secondary cell wall; pigmented layer; proanthocyanidin.

INTRODUCTION

In angiosperms, the mature seed consists of an embryo, a seed coat, and, in many cases, an endosperm. The embryo and the endosperm are products of the fusion of sperm cells with the egg cell and central cell, respectively. In addition, these fertilization events induce the integuments of the ovule to differentiate into a seed coat (testa; Beeckman et al., 2000; Western et al., 2000). The structure of the seed coat varies between species, but fulfills similar roles, including protection of the embryo, limitation of desiccation during dormancy and germination, and promotion of seed dispersal.

Differentiation of the *Arabidopsis* seed coat has been well studied and comprises several layers of specialized cell types (reviewed in Haughn and Chaudhury, 2005). At the time of fertilization, the embryo sac of the ovule is surrounded by an

inner integument with two to three cell layers and an outer integument with two cell layers. These integument cells undergo a period of significant growth comprising both cell expansion and division. Next, each cell layer follows a distinct developmental program. The innermost layer of the inner integument, the endothelium, synthesizes proanthocyanidin (PA) flavonoid compounds that condense to form tannins.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail george.haughn@ubc.ca, tel. +1 604 822-9089, fax +1 604 822-6089.

© The Author 2011. Published by the Molecular Plant Shanghai Editorial Office in association with Oxford University Press on behalf of CSPB and IPPE, SIBS, CAS.

doi: 10.1093/mp/ssr040, Advance Access publication 7 June 2011

Received 11 February 2011; accepted 18 April 2011

The other two inner integument cell layers do not appear to differentiate and apparently undergo programmed cell death (Nakaune et al., 2005). The subepidermal cells of the outer integument form a thickened cell wall on the inner tangential side known as the palisade. The epidermal cells of the outer integument secrete a large amount of pectinaceous mucilage into the apoplast before forming a volcano-shaped cellulosic secondary cell wall known as the columella. By maturity, all seed coat cells have died and all cell layers apart from the epidermal cells, which are preserved by the columella, are crushed together (Haughn and Chaudhury, 2005). Therefore, the seed coat provides an interesting developmental system for study, and represents an excellent model system for cellular processes including PA production (Lepiniec et al., 2006), aspects of cell wall synthesis and modification such as pectin biosynthesis and secretion, and secondary cell wall formation (Arsovski et al., 2010). Practically, the *Arabidopsis* seed coat is an appealing model system for genetic analysis of such processes, as it is not essential for seed viability; therefore, mutants defective in seed coat development germinate and grow normally under laboratory conditions (Koornneef, 1981; Penfield et al., 2001; Western et al., 2001).

Some of the key genes that are involved in seed coat differentiation have been identified and characterized. The genetic pathway for production of PAs, which give *Arabidopsis* seeds their brown color following polymerization into tannins and oxidation, has been relatively well elucidated, at least partly because of the ease of identifying mutants based on altered coloration of the seeds; both PA-producing enzymes and transcription factors have been identified in this way (for review, see Lepiniec et al., 2006). Genes involved in the differentiation of the epidermal cells have also been uncovered using screens for mutants where mucilage production is defective (Western et al., 2001). Further, the *TTG1*-dependent pathway that controls root hair and trichome differentiation has also been found to control seed coat differentiation (for review, see Arsovski et al., 2010).

Although much is known about seed coat development in *Arabidopsis*, many gaps remain in our understanding. Most of the genes currently known to be involved in seed coat development have been identified using forward-genetic mutant screens. However, such screens have limitations caused by redundancy and difficulties in identifying subtle phenotypes. Analysis of global gene expression offers an alternate approach to finding additional genes that are expressed and involved in seed coat development.

Gene expression during seed development has been examined in *Arabidopsis* (Le et al., 2010) and gene expression specifically in seed coats has been investigated in other species (Pang et al., 2004; Gallardo et al., 2007; Pang et al., 2008; Jiang and Deyholos, 2010). Although these experiments have provided some insights into broader aspects of seed coat development, a global picture of gene expression specifically during seed coat development in *Arabidopsis*, the best-studied model system, has not yet been reported, highlighting the need for

a comprehensive gene expression analysis of this tissue. In addition, because *Arabidopsis thaliana* is closely related to Brassica species, many of which are important crops, *Arabidopsis* seed coat microarray data will be useful for identifying gene targets for genetic manipulation. For example, in *Brassica napus* (Canola/Oil seed rape), a major oilseed crop, the seed coat is an important component of the meal used for animal feed. Breeding objectives include reducing a variety of anti-nutritional factors such as fiber (lignin), tannins, and mucilage from the seed coat.

This study reports the findings of comprehensive global gene expression analysis in the developing *Arabidopsis* seed coat, with a view to identifying novel genes involved in this process. In order to maximize the number of differentially regulated candidate genes that could be identified, the microarray experiment was designed to allow comparison of gene expression in three key stages of development for both wild-type and mutant lines defective in the differentiation of specific seed coat cell types. We show that our microarray results are consistent with publically available microarray data, as well as with expression data for previously characterized genes that are expressed in the seed coat. This global study identified gene expression programs associated with inner and outer integuments. Additionally, comparative analysis of *tt16* and *ap2* with wild-type seed coats revealed the expression programs controlled by these regulatory factors. Finally, this study developed new comprehensive global gene expression datasets for *Arabidopsis* seed coat development.

RESULTS

Mutants and Time Points Used for Expression Profiling

In order to obtain precise expression information on candidate genes for specific processes during seed coat differentiation, seed coats were separated from developing embryos at three different developmental stages for two wild-type and two mutant lines. Three developmental time points—3, 7, and 11 d post anthesis (DPA)—were chosen for the expression profiling, as they represent key developmental transitions (Haughn and Chaudhury, 2005). At 3 DPA, PA synthesis is underway in the endothelial cells, while the epidermal cells have begun to accumulate starch grains. At 7 DPA, PA synthesis is coming to an end, but the epidermal cells are now producing and secreting into the apoplast a large amount of pectinaceous mucilage. At 11 DPA, mucilage production is complete and the epidermal cells are producing a secondary cell wall (columella). Because the aleurone (endosperm) adheres tightly to the seed coat in later stages examined (7 and 11 DPA) and some contamination with endosperm nuclei at the earliest stage (prior to differentiation of the aleurone) is likely, we assume our samples include some endosperm mRNA. Therefore, these tissue samples contain four cell types—epidermis, palisade, endothelium, and endosperm—plus cells of the inner integument which undergo cell death. Examination of the changes in

mRNA abundance at these time points should allow identification of genes associated with PA, mucilage, and secondary cell wall biosynthesis.

A genetic approach was used to dissect the epidermis, palisade, and endothelium by exploiting mutants that specifically disrupt development of these layers. Mutants of transcription factors implicated in seed coat development were selected, as it was expected that such mutations would have regulatory roles and therefore the greatest global effect on gene expression in the affected cell layers, thereby increasing the probability of identifying differentially expressed genes associated with those tissues.

AP2 encodes an AP2-domain transcription factor, which is required for differentiation of both layers of the outer integument; strong *ap2* mutants lack differentiation of the epidermal and palisade layers, suggesting that AP2 is an early regulator of seed coat differentiation in the outer ovule integument (Jofuku et al., 1994; Western et al., 2001). A strong *ap2* allele in the Col background (*ap2-7*) was isolated from an EMS-mutagenized population (Kunst et al., 1989). Although the exact molecular lesion in this allele is not known, it displays a phenotype similar to other strong alleles while still being relatively fertile. Mature *ap2-7* seeds are brown, indicating the presence of PA in the endothelium (Figure 1B). However, when mature, *ap2-7* seeds are imbibed with Ruthenium Red, both with and without shaking during the staining protocol, they do not release mucilage (Figure 1F and 1J). In addition, mature *ap2-7* seeds appear heart-shaped, as the seed coat collapses to the shape of the embryo in the absence of secondary cell walls in the outer two layers (Figure 1B, 1F, and 1J).

TT16 encodes an ARABIDOPSIS BSISTER (ABS) MADS domain protein that is an early regulator of endothelium differentiation (Nesi et al., 2002; Debeaujon et al., 2003). Although it would have been preferable to use a *tt16* allele in a Col background, no such allele was available; therefore, the *tt16-1* mutant, a T-DNA insertion in the *Ws-2* background, was selected (Nesi et al., 2002). Mature *tt16-1* seeds release mucilage in a manner similar to wild-type when imbibed with Ruthenium Red both with and without shaking during the staining protocol (Figure 1H and 1L). Although the chalazal/micropylar region does develop normally, *tt16-1* seeds produce little PA in the main body of the seed, giving pale seeds at maturity (Figure 1D).

In order to confirm the developmental programs of the *ap2-7* and *tt16-1* mutants in comparison to both *Ws-2* and Col-2 wild-type (WT), newly opened flowers (0 DPA) were marked using non-toxic paint, then harvested 3, 7, and 11 DPA. The developing seeds were resin embedded, sectioned, stained with Toluidine Blue, and examined by light microscopy (Figure 2). The *ap2-7* flowers were hand fertilized with Col-2 pollen, as *ap2-7* produces very little pollen of its own and is semi-sterile. This does not affect the phenotype of the developing seed coat, which is maternally inherited.

The sectioning showed that the cells of the outer seed coat layers in the *ap2-7* mutant do not differentiate (Figure 2D–2F) and that *tt16-1* does not undergo development of the

endothelium in the main body of the seed (Figure 2J–2L). Therefore, these data confirm the suitability of these two mutants for use in this study, and also indicate that other aspects of seed development in these mutants are normal.

Tissue Preparation for Expression Profiling

Under our growth conditions, *Ws-2* and *tt16-1* flowers slightly earlier than Col-2 and *ap2-7* and are generally ready for marking 5–7 d before Col-2 and *ap2-7*. Therefore, planting was staggered slightly to allow all tissues to be harvested on the same day.

To prepare tissue for the expression profiling, newly opened flowers (0 DPA) from all four genotypes (*ap2-7*, *tt16-1*, Col-2, and *Ws-2*) were marked in the same way as for resin embedding. Again, *ap2-7* flowers were hand pollinated using Col-2 pollen so that the seed set was higher and the developmental stage could be more accurately determined.

As well as using mutants to genetically separate the inner and outer integuments, seed coat tissues for expression profiling were physically separated from the embryo; this approach further enriched for transcripts that specifically act in seed coat development. Although we carefully separated seed coat tissues from embryo, the single layer of endosperm adhering to the seed coat that is present at late stages (7 and 11 DPA) was likely included in the preparation. The removal of the embryo also allowed the stage of seed coat development to be double-checked by comparison to the stage of embryo development. Previous work has indicated that, at 3 DPA under our growth conditions the embryo is late globular, at 7 DPA it is at the bent cotyledon stage, and at 11 DPA it is at the upturned U stage (Western et al., 2000). In the case of the *tt16-1* mutant, embryo development appeared to be slightly slower than expected; therefore, seed coats corresponding to late globular, bent cotyledon, and upturned U embryo stage seeds were isolated. These modifications ensured harvesting of seed coat tissues from comparable developmental stages in different genotypes.

The seed coat was separated from the embryo using forceps and washed with 5% sucrose in 0.1% RNAlater solution. For each genotype at each developmental stage, four biological replicates were harvested and processed separately.

Genome-Wide Expression Profiling

The microarray design was developed to allow a number of direct comparisons between three developmental time points and genotypes (Figure 3A). The design was chosen so that the key comparisons could be made directly and so that other comparisons could be made with as few degrees of separation as possible. Figure 3A also shows the embryo stages at each developmental time point.

The Qiagen-Operon Arabidopsis Genome Array Ready Oligo Set (AROS) Version 3.0 (<http://cals.arizona.edu/microarray>; Zhang et al., 2008) was used for expression profiling, and four biological replicates were hybridized as detailed in the Methods section. A dye swap was performed by labeling two biological replicates with Cy3 and two with Cy5 to normalize for any bias caused by dye labeling.




Figure 1. Seed Morphology of Mature Seeds.

Mature dry seeds (A–D), mature seeds stained with Ruthenium Red without shaking (E–H), and mature seeds stained with Ruthenium Red with shaking (I–L) for Col-2 (A, E, I), *ap2-7* (B, F, J), *Ws-2* (C, G, K), and *tt16-1* (D, H, L). Mature *tt16-1* seeds are pale, as they do not accumulate PA, whereas mature *Ws-2*, Col-2, and *ap2-7* seeds accumulate PA normally and are brown at maturity. Mature *ap2-7* seeds do not release mucilage when hydrated and stained with Ruthenium Red both with and without shaking whereas mature Col-2, *Ws-2*, and *tt16-1* seeds do release mucilage with these treatments. Scale bars = 100 μm .

The microarray data were normalized and effects of time and genotype on gene expression were estimated. These effect estimates were used to examine the relationships over time within each genotype, and also between genotypes at a fixed time point.

Figure 3 shows pair-wise comparisons of microarray datasets, and includes all microarray probes with greater than two-fold changes in gene expression. All comparisons were made experimentally (Figure 3A) or were determined *in silico* from the array data (Figure 3B).

In order to identify trends in gene expression patterns during seed coat development, five key comparisons were examined in more detail using the Classification Superviewer tools (Provart and Zhu, 2003) available at the Bio-Array Resource for Plant Functional Genomics (BAR) website. The two-fold changed gene lists were used for this analysis and five key comparisons were examined. To try and gain more insights into the developmental processes of wild-type seed coat development, the genes that were more highly expressed at 7 DPA compared with 3 DPA and more highly expressed at 11 DPA compared with 7 DPA were examined in the Col-2 background. We focused on the Col-2 background rather than *Ws-2*, as it is more similar to the published Col-0 genome, and because more work on individual genes has been done in the Col background than in *Ws-2*. Next, because two major processes in epidermal development are mucilage synthesis and columella development, the differences in gene expression between *ap2-7* and Col-2 at 7 DPA (during the peak of mucilage synthesis) and 11 DPA (during the peak of columella development) were examined. Finally, in order to examine the processes involved in endothelium

differentiation, which is completed by 7 DPA, the differences between *tt16-1* and *Ws-2* at 3 DPA were examined.

The Classification Superviewer has two different output modes, and will return either the absolute number of genes in each GO functional classification or the number of genes in each GO category normalized to number of genes actually found on the chip. It is preferable to use the normalized data output option, as it gives a more accurate representation of the types of genes that are differentially regulated, because bias caused by number of genes in each GO category on the array is removed. Although the database uses the gene identities on the GeneChip arrays (Provart and Zhu, 2003) for these calculations, AROS chips have a comparable number of genes (25 460 genes for GeneChip and 26 173 for AROS). Therefore, trends can be accurately identified using the normalized output despite the use of a different microarray platform.

The normalized data for these five key comparisons, for GO classification of cellular components, is shown in Figure 4.

In Col-2, genes with products associated with DNA or RNA metabolism, Protein Metabolism, and Cell Organization and Biogenesis, are underrepresented between 3 and 7 DPA, and 7 and 11 DPA, as are proteins with the molecular function Nucleic Acid Binding, as might be expected for cell types that are no longer growing and dividing (Figure 4A and 4B).

The genes encoding proteins associated with the Plastid are overrepresented in Col-2 between 3 and 7 DPA and, at 7 DPA, between Col-2 and *ap2-7*, but are underrepresented in Col-2 between 7 and 11 DPA, in line with the presence of amyloplasts in the early stages of epidermal cell development and their disappearance in the later stages (Figure 4A–4C).




Figure 2. Seed Coat Sections from Developing Seeds.

Col-2 (A–C), *ap2-7* (D–F), *Ws-2* (G–I), *tt16-1* (J–L), at 3 DPA (A,D,G,J), 7 DPA (B,E,H,K), and 11 DPA (C,F,I,L). Stars indicate epidermal cells to compare: note that epidermal cells do not develop in *ap2-7*, but develop normally in *tt16-1*. Arrows indicate endothelial cells to compare: note that endothelial cells do not accumulate PA in *tt16-1* but develop normally in *ap2-7*. Scale bar = 10 μ m.

The genes encoding proteins associated with the Golgi, Extracellular domain, Cell Wall, Plasma Membrane, and ER are all overrepresented in Col-2 between 3 and 7 DPA and, at 7 DPA, between *ap2-7* and Col-7, when the synthesis of pectin, a secreted carbohydrate, is at a maximum in Col-2 but is absent in *ap2-7* (Figure 4A and 4C). By 11 DPA in Col-2, the genes that are primarily associated with pectin synthesis (Golgi, Plasma Membrane, and ER) are no longer overrepresented, as pectin synthesis has finished. However, Cell Wall and Extracellular genes are even more overrepresented, as columella (secondary cell wall) synthesis is at its peak (Figure 4B). This overrepresentation is even more pronounced between *ap2-7* and Col-2 at 11 DPA, as columella synthesis is absent in the *ap2-7* mutant (Figure 4D).

In the case of *Ws-2* and *tt16-1*, there is underrepresentation of genes associated with the biological processes of DNA or RNA metabolism and Protein Metabolism, again as might be expected for cells that are not growing or dividing (Figure 4E).

Validation of Microarray Results Using Publicly Available Expression Data

For initial validation of the microarray data, three genes known to be involved in epidermal development and four genes known to be involved in endothelial development were selected. *GL2* (Koorneef et al., 1982), *MUM4* (Western et al., 2001; Usadel et al., 2004; Western et al., 2004), and *MUM2* (Western et al., 2001; Dean et al., 2007; Macquet et al., 2007) are involved in production and modification of seed coat mucilage in the epidermal layer, and *BAN* (Albert et al., 1997), *LDOX/TDS4* (Abrahams et al., 2003), *TT2* (Nesi et al., 2001), and *TT3/DFR* (Shirley et al., 1995) are involved in PA production in the endothelial layer.

Expression data from the microarray for these genes in both Col-2 and *Ws-2* were compared with expression data from Col-0 (Schmid et al., 2005) available via the *Arabidopsis* eFP browser at BAR (Winter et al., 2007). The eFP browser was




Figure 3. Pair-Wise Comparisons of Gene Expression in Developing Seed Coats.

Dotted lines indicate comparisons examined directly using the microarray (A) or indirectly from the microarray data (B). Arrowheads on the dotted lines indicate the direction of comparison, e.g. if relative expression in the stage/genotype at the tail of the arrow is lower than that at the head of the arrow, then the expression is considered to increase. Numbers represent the number of probes with more than two-fold change, either increased (red arrows) or decreased (black arrows) expression between different developmental stages or genetic backgrounds. The stage of seed coat was determined by days post anthesis and checked using embryo morphology expected for that time under our growth conditions. A typical seed for each stage is shown to the right of panel (A). Comparisons within genotypes at key developmental transitions, between wild-types and mutants, and between mutants, as well as between wild-types at the same developmental stage, are shown. Scale bar = 50 μ m.

set to the Developmental map, with absolute values for gene expression. This allowed fold changes to be calculated for each comparison of interest, and seed stages 3, 7, and 10 were used as equivalent to 3, 7, and 11 DPA, respectively. In addition, published expression data from the following studies were used to validate data: *MUM4* and *GL2* (Western et al., 2004), *MUM2* (Dean et al., 2007), *BAN* and *LDOX* (Devic et al., 1999), *TT2* (Nesi et al., 2001), and *TT3/DFR* (Nesi et al., 2000). This data analysis is presented in Table 1.

Generally, the comparisons between Col-2 and Ws-2 from the microarray data are in good agreement, as would be expected from the similar developmental programs of Col-2 and Ws-2 seeds, as shown in Figure 2. The data from the available literature are also similar to the microarray data.

Although the data used for the eFP Developmental map are from the Col-0 ecotype, there is still good overall agreement between these data and the trends in expression in Col-2 and

Ws-2 (Table 1). However, there are some instances where data from the microarray and the eFP browser do not match perfectly. In contrast to the microarray data and literature, data from the eFP browser for the epidermal genes *MUM2* and *MUM4* do not show a large increase in expression between 3 and 7 DPA, whereas *GL2* expression does increase significantly. Between 7 and 11 DPA, *MUM2* and *MUM4* expression decreases, similar to data from the microarray and the literature. However, there is also a large decrease in expression of *GL2* that is not seen in other datasets. If the expression patterns from the eFP browser are examined, it can be seen that the trends in expression over time are similar, with a peak in expression during seed development, but that the timing is slightly different (Supplemental Figure 1A). For all three of these genes, peak expression appears earlier in development at the late heart/early torpedo stage rather than at the late torpedo/bent cotyledon stage, as indicated in the literature. This may be because the tissue used for the eFP browser is different (siliques for the earlier stages, and whole seeds for all stages rather than seed coat specifically), or perhaps because of differences in growth conditions that affect some aspects of seed development. In addition, the samples collected in previous studies are likely to represent mixed developmental stages because the ovule development in a given silique is not synchronized, whereas, in this study, each seed coat was isolated and staged separately with reference to the embryo.

There is good agreement between all three datasets for the endothelial genes. Data from the eFP browser show that these genes are highly expressed early in seed development and that expression decreases with time (Supplemental Figure 1B shows *TT2* as an example). In the case of *TT2* (At5g35550), expression appears to go up very slightly between 7 and 11 DPA. However, because this fold change is so low, it is likely best interpreted as 'no change'.

Generally, the trends in gene expression from these three data sources are in good agreement, indicating that the microarray data in the present study give an accurate representation of changes in gene expression during seed coat development.

Differential Expression between Wild-Types

As already discussed, expression of known genes is similar in both Col-2 and Ws-2. As Col-2 and Ws-2 follow the same developmental program, it was also expected that the numbers of differentially expressed genes between each time point would be similar. While this is true for some comparisons, there are more genes down-regulated between 3 and 7 DPA in Ws-2 than in Col-2 (Figure 3A), and many more genes are differentially expressed in Col-2 compared to Ws-2 between 7 and 11 DPA (Figure 3A).

Initially, the lists of significantly changed genes were used to extract genes that were common to both ecotypes and distinct to each ecotype, at 3 versus 7 DPA and 7 versus 11 DPA (Table 2). Interestingly, and perhaps unexpectedly, the number of genes common to both lists for all comparisons examined was smaller than expected.




Figure 4. Clustering of Two-fold Changed Genes by GO Classification for Key Comparisons.

The ClassificationSupervisor at the BAR website was used to determine the frequency of genes in each GO category, normalized to the number of genes on the microarray chip. Lists of two-fold changed genes for comparisons of interest were used as the input. A value of more than 1 indicates that the dataset is enriched for a given class of genes compared with the probes on the array. Results for the following comparisons are presented: up-regulated at 7 DPA compared with 3 DPA in Col-2 (**A**); up-regulated at 11 DPA compared with 7 DPA in Col-2 (**B**); down-regulated in *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2 at 7 DPA (**C**); down-regulated in *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2 at 11 DPA (**D**); and down-regulated in *tt16-1* with respect to Ws-2 at 3 DPA (**E**). Error bars are SD. GO categories that a significantly over or underrepresented at $p < 0.05$ are in black text.

Table 1. Fold Changes in Gene Expression in Wild-Type; Microarray Data versus Literature and eFP Browser.

Gene name (At ID)	3 versus 7 DPA			
	Array Col-2	Array Ws-2	eFP	Literature*
<i>MUM4</i> (At1g53500)	Up 4.6-fold at 7 DPA	Up 4.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.7-fold at 7 DPA	Up at 7 DPA
<i>MUM2</i> (At5g63800)	Up 4.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 2.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.3-fold at 7 DPA	Up at 7 DPA
<i>GL2</i> (At1g79840)	Up 1.2-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.2-fold at 7 DPA	Up 6.0-fold at 7 DPA	NC
<i>BAN</i> (At1g61720)	Down 2.0-fold at 7 DPA	Down 2.8-fold at 7 DPA	Down 4.1-fold at 7 DPA	Down at 7 DPA
<i>TT2</i> (At5g35550)	Down 4.5-fold at 7 DPA	Down 4.1-fold at 7 DPA	Down 12.6-fold at 7 DPA	Down at 7 DPA
<i>TT3/DFR</i> (At5g42800)	Down 7.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down 4.6-fold at 7 DPA	Down 3.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down at 7 DPA
<i>LDOX/TDS4</i> (At4g22880)	Down 3.5-fold at 7 DPA	Down 4.6-fold at 7 DPA	Down 12.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down at 7 DPA
Gene name (At ID)	7 versus 11 DPA			
	Array Col-2	Array Ws-2	eFP	Literature*
<i>MUM4</i> (At1g53500)	Down 4.9-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down 4.0-fold at 11 DPA	Down at 11 DPA
<i>MUM2</i> (At5g63800)	Down 2.6-fold at 11 DPA	Down 2.7-fold at 11 DPA	Down 2.0-fold at 11 DPA	Down at 11 DPA
<i>GL2</i> (At1g79840)	Down 1.4-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.1-fold at 11 DPA	Down 3.5-fold at 11 DPA	ND
<i>BAN</i> (At1g61720)	Down 7.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down 5.2-fold at 11 DPA	Down 14.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down at 11 DPA
<i>TT2</i> (At5g35550)	Down 2.0-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.9-fold at 11 DPA	Up 1.2-fold at 11 DPA	Down at 11 DPA
<i>TT3/DFR</i> (At5g42800)	Down 2.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down 4.0-fold at 11 DPA	Down 3.2-fold at 11 DPA	Down at 11 DPA
<i>LDOX/TDS4</i> (At4g22880)	Down 4.1-fold at 11 DPA	Down 4.1-fold at 11 DPA	Down 2.6-fold at 11 DPA	Down at 11 DPA

NC, no change in expression; ND, no data available; * references cited in text.

In addition, gene expression in Col-2 and Ws-2 was compared at each time point (Figure 3B) and there were large differences in the numbers of significantly expressed genes between these two wild-types. However, when the complete set of array data was analyzed using GeneSpring software (using all spots with an intensity value of 200 or more), the total number of genes expressed in both ecotypes across all time points was similar, with 14-284 genes expressed in Col-2 and 14-457 genes in Ws-2. Given that there are 26,173 genes on the array, 54% of the genes in Col-2 and 55% of the genes in Ws-2 are expressed during seed coat development, indicating that the developmental processes occurring in seed coats are complex and use a large percentage of the genome. Furthermore, the overlap in expressed genes between these ecotypes is very high, with 12,246, or approximately 85%, of these genes expressed in both ecotypes. This indicates that, although there are some differences in relative expression levels, the overall expression programs between these two ecotypes are very similar.

Effect of the *ap2-7* Mutation on Endothelial Expression and the *tt16-1* Mutation on Epidermal Expression

As described previously, *ap2-7* and *tt16-1* were chosen to help identify genes that are important in development of the epidermis and endothelium, respectively. In order to determine more specifically whether disruption of the epidermis had an effect on endothelial development and vice versa, the expression of key genes involved in the development of these tissue types was examined.

Table 3 shows expression of three key genes in epidermal development (*AP2*, *MUM2*, and *MUM4*) and three key genes

Table 2. Numbers of Two-fold Changed Genes Common to Col-2 and Ws-2.

Time point	Total in Ws-2	Total in Col-2	Common to Ws-2 and Col-2	Only in Ws-2	Only in Col-2
Down 7 versus 3 DPA	1 883	1 470	916	967	554
Up 7 versus 3 DPA	2 132	2 161	1 403	729	758
Down 11 versus 7 DPA	990	3 074	668	322	2 406
Up 11 versus 7 DPA	1 098	2 064	561	537	1 503

in endothelial development (*TT16*, *BAN*, and *TT3/DFR*) in Col-2, *ap2-7*, Ws-2 and *tt16-1*.

As expected, *AP2* is expressed normally in the *tt16-1* background (compare *AP2* expression in Ws-2 and *tt16-1* at 3 versus 7 DPA and 7 versus 11 DPA), and *TT16* is expressed similarly in the Col-2 and *ap2-7* backgrounds (compare *TT16* expression in Col-2 and *ap2-7* at 3 versus 7 DPA and 7 versus 11 DPA), indicating that the two transcription factors do not regulate each other. Further, transcript can be detected for *AP2* in the *ap2-7* background and for *TT16* in the *tt16-1* background, demonstrating that these mutants are not transcript nulls. Interestingly, *AP2* transcript is up-regulated between 3 and 7 DPA in the *ap2-7* background.

In the case of both *BAN* and *TT3/DFR*, similar patterns of regulation are seen in Ws-2, Col-2, and *ap2-7*, where expression of these genes decreases between 3 and 7 DPA, and again between 7 and 11 DPA. As expected from previous studies, the decrease in expression of these genes in the *tt16-1* background is not so pronounced between 3 and 7 DPA, presumably

Table 3. Expression Level of Epidermal Genes in *tt16-1* and Endothelial Genes in *ap2-7*.

Gene name (At ID)	3 versus 7 DPA		7 versus 11 DPA	
	Col-2	<i>ap2-7</i>	Col-2	<i>ap2-7</i>
<i>TT16</i> (At5g23260)	Down 1.3-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.8-fold at 7 DPA	Down 2.0-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.3-fold at 11 DPA
<i>AP2</i> (At4g36920)	Down 1.8-fold at 7 DPA	Up 3.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.2-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.2-fold at 11 DPA
<i>BAN</i> (At1g61720)	Down 2.0-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.7-fold at 7 DPA	Down 7.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down 2.7-fold at 11 DPA
<i>TT3/DFR</i> (At5g42800)	Down 7.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down 3.0-fold at 7 DPA	Down 2.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down 4.4-fold at 11 DPA
<i>MUM4</i> (At1g53500)	Up 4.6-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.1-fold at 7 DPA	Down 4.9-fold at 11 DPA	Fold change 1.0
<i>MUM2</i> (At5g63800)	Up 4.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.1-fold at 7 DPA	Down 2.6-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.5-fold at 11 DPA

Gene name (At ID)	3 versus 7 DPA		7 versus 11 DPA	
	Ws-2	<i>tt16-1</i>	Ws-2	<i>tt16-1</i>
<i>TT16</i> (At5g23260)	Down 2.8-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.8-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.6-fold at 11 DPA	Up 1.1-fold at 11 DPA
<i>AP2</i> (At4g36920)	Down 1.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.5-fold at 7 DPA	fold change 1.0	Down 1.5-fold at 11 DPA
<i>BAN</i> (At1g61720)	Down 2.8-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down 5.2-fold at 11 DPA	Down 3.1-fold at 11 DPA
<i>TT3/DFR</i> (At5g42800)	Down 4.6-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.2-fold at 7 DPA	Down 4.0-fold at 11 DPA	Down 2.3-fold at 11 DPA
<i>MUM4</i> (At1g53500)	Up 4.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 1.4-fold at 7 DPA	Down 1.8-fold at 11 DPA	Down 1.1-fold at 11 DPA
<i>MUM2</i> (At5g63800)	Up 2.1-fold at 7 DPA	Up 2.9-fold at 7 DPA	Down 2.7-fold at 11 DPA	Down 2.0-fold at 11 DPA

because the lack of functional *TT16* meant that these genes were not highly expressed at 3 DPA.

In the case of *MUM4* and *MUM2*, similar patterns of regulation are seen in Col-2, Ws-2, and *tt16-1*, where expression increases between 3 and 7 DPA and then decreases again from 7 to 11 DPA. However, *MUM4* expression does not increase between 3 and 7 DPA as much as would be expected considering the results from the other backgrounds, and that *tt16-1* seeds produce mucilage in comparable amounts to wild-type. Conversely, and in agreement with previous studies, in the *ap2-7* background, these genes are not significantly changed in expression, presumably because there is no functional *AP2* transcript that is needed for their activation.

Therefore, this analysis indicates that the developmental pathways and the associated gene expression programs in the epidermis and the endothelium function relatively independently.

Expression of Mucilage and PA Biosynthesis-Associated Genes in *ap-2* and *tt16-1* Mutant Backgrounds

In order to examine the effect of the different mutant backgrounds on gene expression, the expression of genes known to be involved in epidermal pathways were compared in *ap2-7* and Col-2, and the expression of genes known to be involved in endothelial pathways were compared in *tt16-1* and Ws-2. Microarray data were validated using qPCR analysis using RNA prepared from isolated seed coats as before. These results were then compared with published expression patterns where available in the literature. All data are shown in Table 4.

MUM4, *GL2*, and *TTG1* were selected as markers for expression in *ap2-7* versus Col-2 at 7 DPA, as these genes are known to be required for epidermal development. In the case of *MUM4*, the decrease in expression in the *ap2-7* background is confirmed by the qPCR results and this is supported by

published data (Western et al., 2004). For *GL2*, the microarray data do not show a significant decrease in expression in the *ap2-7* background, as has been reported in the literature (Western et al., 2004) and was shown by qPCR. Although *TTG1* is involved in mucilage synthesis, it does not appear to be significantly down-regulated in the *ap2-7* background (Western et al., 2004), and this is also reflected in the microarray data and by the qPCR analysis. Overall, the microarray data are broadly in line with both the literature and qPCR analysis.

BAN and *TT3/DFR* were selected as markers for expression in *tt16-1* versus Ws-2 at 3 DPA, as these genes are known to be required for PA synthesis. There is good agreement between the microarray and qPCR data in the case of *TT3/DFR*, where a large decrease in expression was seen in the *tt16-1* background. No data in the literature regarding expression of *TT3/DFR* in *tt16-1* are available for comparison. In the case of *BAN*, the microarray data do not show a large decrease in expression in the *tt16-1* background, which is unexpected, as *BAN* has been shown to be down-regulated in *tt16-1* using a *BAN* promoter fused to the GUS marker (Nesi et al., 2002; Debeaujon et al., 2003). However, more sensitive qPCR analysis of *BAN* expression showed significant down-regulation in the *tt16-1* background that is consistent with the earlier study (Nesi et al., 2002). This result indicates the importance of independently validating microarray results with qPCR.

In addition, GeneSpring software was used to analyze the microarray data in order to illustrate that groups of other genes follow the same trends in expression pattern as genes known to act in development of seed coat tissues. Figure 5A shows the expression pattern of significantly changed genes at 7 DPA in *ap2-7* versus Col-2. It can be seen that *MUM4*, along with a number of other genes, are down-regulated in the *ap2-7* background at 7 DPA. Similarly, Figure 5B shows the expression pattern of significantly changed genes at 3 DPA in *tt16-1*

Table 4. Fold Changes in Gene Expression in Mutants versus Wild-Types; Microarray Data versus Literature and qPCR.

Gene name (At ID)	<i>ap2-7</i> versus Col-2 at 7 DPA		
	Microarray	qPCR	Literature*
<i>MUM4</i> (At1g53500)	Down 4.4-fold in <i>ap2-7</i>	Down 15.0-fold in <i>ap2-7</i>	Down in <i>ap2-7</i>
<i>GL2</i> (At1g79840)	Down 1.4-fold in <i>ap2-7</i>	Down 2.5-fold in <i>ap2-7</i>	Down in <i>ap2-7</i>
<i>TTG1</i> (At5g24520)	Down 1.8-fold in <i>ap2-7</i>	Down 1.5-fold in <i>ap2-7</i>	NC
Gene name (At ID)	<i>tt16-1</i> vs. <i>Ws-2</i> at 3 DPA		
	Microarray	qPCR	Literature*
<i>TT3/DFR</i> (At5g42800)	Down 9.0-fold in <i>tt16-1</i>	Down 20.6-fold in <i>tt16-1</i>	ND
<i>BAN</i> (At1g61720)	Down 1.8-fold in <i>tt16-1</i>	Down 4.3-fold in <i>tt16-1</i>	Down in <i>tt16-1</i>

NC, no change in expression; * references cited in text.

versus *Ws-2*. Here, *TT3/DFR* is down-regulated in the *tt16-1* background at 3 DPA along with a number of other genes that follow a similar trend in expression pattern. This indicates that *MUM4* and *TT3/DFR* are representatives of groups of genes that respond similarly to *AP2* and *TT16*. Based on their similar co-expression patterns, these genes are considered likely to be involved in seed coat development.

Confirmation of Microarray Data Using qPCR

A number of gene targets were selected from the gene lists for independent validation using qPCR to confirm that the changes in expression as indicated by the microarray data were authentic and reliable. Candidates for qPCR were not chosen on the basis of expression level per se. Some were selected based on a known involvement in seed coat development, such as At1g53500 (*MUM4*), At1g61720 (*BAN*), At5g23260 (*TT16*), and At5g42800 (*TT3/DFR*), while others were selected at random from among putative carbohydrate-active enzymes and regulatory factors identified in the microarray data. In addition, several genes of unknown function were also included. Genes were selected in these ways so that a broad range of genes was examined for confirmation of the microarray datasets with independent qPCR analysis.

qPCR analysis of 20 genes selected from comparisons relating to epidermal processes was carried out so that relative transcript abundance in Col-2 from 3 to 7 DPA (Figure 6A) and in *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2 at 7 DPA (Figure 6B) could be investigated. The microarray data and the qPCR data are in very good agreement for Col-2 in terms of whether gene expression increased, decreased, or remained the same between 3 and 7 DPA. Typically, the fold change determined by PCR was higher than that determined by the microarray. Similarly, in the case of the *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2 at 7 DPA, comparisons between the microarray and the qPCR are in close agreement, with the exception of At2g41070 and At4g18425. However, it is worth noting that, in these two exceptions, the overall changes in gene expression were very small.

Twenty genes from key comparisons related to endothelium processes lists were also selected and, again, qPCR was carried out so that relative transcript abundance in *Ws-2* from 3 to

7 DPA (Figure 6C) and in *tt16-1* with respect to *Ws-2* at 3 DPA (Figure 6D) could be investigated. There is very good agreement between the microarray data and the qPCR data in all cases.

Next, 10 genes from each of these sets of 20 were analyzed in more detail. For the 10 epidermal genes, microarray data were compared to qPCR data for all comparisons between Col-2 and *ap2-7* that were determined experimentally in the microarray (Figure 3A). There is good agreement across all comparisons for all of these gene candidates. Figure 7A and 7B shows this analysis for two genes, At1g53500 (*MUM4*) and At4g17695 (encoding the KANADI 3 transcription factor, and selected from the two-fold changed gene lists). In the case of *MUM4*, the increase in gene expression at 7 DPA followed by the decrease in expression at 11 DPA can be clearly seen, and the lack of *MUM4* expression in the *ap2-7* background is also confirmed. In the case of At4g17695, gene expression follows a similar pattern to *MUM4* in wild-type, and also appears to be down-regulated in *ap2-7* compared with Col-2 at both 3 and 7 DPA. In the *ap2-7* background, At4g17695 expression increases between 3 and 7 DPA, indicating that *AP2* is not the only regulator that influences its expression. The detailed expression data for the other eight genes examined are shown in Supplemental Figure 2.

For the 10 endothelial genes, microarray data were compared to qPCR data for all comparisons between *Ws-2* and *tt16-1* that were determined experimentally in the microarray (Figure 3A). There is good agreement across all comparisons for all of these gene candidates. Figure 7C and 7D shows this analysis for two genes, At1g61720 (*BAN*) and At4g22870 (a leucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase selected from the two-fold changed lists). In the case of *BAN*, decreased expression can be seen between 3 and 7 DPA, and between 7 and 11 DPA in *Ws-2*, and the lack of *BAN* expression in *tt16-1* in comparison with *Ws-2* at 3 DPA is also confirmed. At4g22870 shows a similar expression pattern to *BAN*. The detailed expression data for the other eight genes examined are shown in Supplemental Figure 2.

These data indicate that results from qPCR are comparable to the microarray data across all comparisons in the microarray.




Figure 5. Expression Pattern of Significantly Changed Genes.

Gene expression is shown as Normalized Intensity (log scale) where a value of more than 1 indicates an increase in expression and a value of less than 1 indicates a decrease in expression. Genes up-regulated (orange) or down-regulated (blue) are represented. **(A)** Expression patterns of significantly changed genes at 7 DPA in *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2. *MUM4* (At1g53500, white line, arrowed) is regulated by *AP2* and is down-regulated in *ap2-7* compared with Col-2 at 7 DPA. **(B)** Expression pattern of significantly changed genes at 3 DPA in *tt16-1* with respect to *Ws-2*. *TT3/DFR* (At5g42800, white line, arrowed) is down-regulated in *tt16-1* compared with *Ws-2* at 3 DPA.

Incorporation of the Seed Coat Array Data into the BAR Expression Browser

In order to allow these data to be accessed by the research community, the datasets have been processed to allow them to be incorporated into the BAR expression browser, which can be accessed at www.dotm.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi or by following the link from the BAR website (<http://bar.utoronto.ca>).

Identification of New Gene Targets Based on Microarray Data

Candidates for reverse genetic studies were targeted to comparisons that were directly relevant to identifying genes involved in certain processes. Gene lists with transcripts showing at least two-fold change in expression were used to identify candidates involved in the key processes of epidermal and endothelial development (Supplemental Table 1).

For the identification of candidate epidermal genes, two major groups of genes were considered: those expressed early in development (3–7 DPA) at a time when mucilage synthesis/modification and PA biosynthesis occur, and genes expressed later (7–11 DPA) during secondary cell wall formation/modification.

To identify early-stage candidate genes involved in epidermal development, genes that were up-regulated in Col-2 between 3 and 7 DPA were identified. Genes that were also found to be down-regulated in *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2 at 7 DPA were considered likely to be involved in early-stage epidermal development. Venn analysis was then used to determine candidates that were present in both groups. This approach was also useful, as the global analysis showed that GO categories alone cannot be used to select for groups of likely candidates that are involved in key seed coat developmental processes, as there are a large number of genes in each ontology that are expressed in seed coats.

Similarly, genes whose expression increased between 7 and 11 DPA in Col-2, and were also down-regulated in the *ap2-7* background at 11 DPA, were considered as likely late-stage candidates.

When selecting candidates for phenotype screens, preference was given to genes putatively involved in carbohydrate synthesis and signaling, as well as transcription factors. Next, the eFP browser at BAR was used to manually assess time and place of expression and those predicted to be expressed during the relevant phase of seed development were selected. T-DNA lines available from TAIR with insertions in the selected genes are currently being screened for phenotypes but no heritable phenotypes have been detected to date.

Genes that were down-regulated in *Ws-2* between 3 and 7 DPA, and in *tt16-1* with respect to *Ws-2* at 3 DPA, were considered likely to be involved in endothelium development, since tannin biosynthesis in the endothelium occurs in the wild-type at 3 DPA but not in the *tt16-1* mutant. Fifty-three loci were selected (Supplemental Table 2) and screened for changes in seed coat coloration, but no new transparent testa mutants have been identified to date.

DISCUSSION

This work presents the first comprehensive gene expression profiling study specifically for seed coat development in the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana*. A genetic approach using mutants defective in seed coat development, in combination with physical removal of the embryo from the seed coat, was used to identify genes expressed in specific seed coat cell types at specific times in development. The selected mutants were




Figure 6. Validation of Microarray Data Using qPCR.

A comparison of the relative transcript abundance as determined using both microarray and qPCR data for 20 epidermal genes (for two key epidermal comparisons) and 20 endothelial genes (for two key endothelial comparisons) is shown.

(A) Col-2 from 3 to 7 DPA.

(B) *ap2-7* with respect to Col-2 at 7 DPA.

(C) Ws-2 from 3 to 7 DPA.

(D) *tt16-1* with respect to Ws-2 at 3 DPA.

ap2-7 (defective in epidermal and palisade cell development) and *tt16-1* (defective in endothelial development). The sectioning of developing seeds from these genotypes confirmed that other seed coat cell layers develop normally (Figure 2), confirming the specificity of the effects of *ap2-7* and *tt16-1* within the seed coat.

Genome-Wide Expression Profiling

Figure 3A shows all of the comparisons that were made experimentally, along with the numbers of differentially regulated genes with a change in expression of more than two-fold. Figure 3B shows several additional comparisons that were inferred from the direct comparisons shown in Figure 3A. Although the numbers of differentially regulated genes may seem high on first inspection, the tissue used for these microarrays contains three distinct cell types from the seed coat (epidermis, palisade, and endothelium), cells of the inner integument

that undergo cell death, and endosperm. These five cell types are all undergoing distinct and complex developmental transitions. In support of this hypothesis, it is important to note that there are approximately three to four times the number of differentially expressed genes between different stages in the same genotype compared to the same stages in different genotypes. Such differences in the number of differentially expressed genes is expected because, when comparing stages of development, changes in gene expression for four to five cell types are detected whereas, when comparing mutant versus wild-type, only changes in the small number of cell types affected by the mutation (endothelium or epidermis/palisade) are detected. The exception is the comparison between Col-2 and Ws-2 at 11 DPA (Figure 3B), where there are also many more genes differentially regulated. This may reflect differential transcriptional regulation in these diverse ecotypes, as has been previously observed (Chen et al., 2005). Although slight differences




Figure 7. Detailed Validation of Microarray Data Using qPCR.

A comparison of the relative transcript abundance as determined using both microarray data and qPCR data for two epidermal and two endothelial genes for all comparisons between mutant and wild-type determined experimentally in the microarray is shown, w.r.t., with respect to.

- (A) At1g53500 (*MUM4*).
 (B) At4g17695.
 (C) At1g61720 (*BAN*).
 (D) At4g22870.

in growth rate were observed between these two ecotypes, if this variation is due to differences in staging and sampling, then variation between biological samples should also be evident, but this is not the case. Therefore, it seems plausible that the major contributing factor could be natural variation associated with regulatory factors between these ecotypes.

In addition, although comparisons between mutants and the corresponding wild-type represent changes caused by a mutation in a single gene, that gene product controls differentiation of at least one cell type and thus must affect several developmental and biochemical processes. The numbers of differentially regulated genes between each time point in wild-type are broadly in line with other studies, including those that examined gene expression in ovules in wild-type versus ovule defective mutants (Skinner and Gasser, 2009) and during leaf senescence (Buchanan-Wollaston et al., 2005). Overall, the data show that seed coat development uses expression of over 50% of the genes in the genome to regulate and coordinate

developmental processes that operate in this complex tissue, as mentioned in the Results section on differential expression between wild-types.

The clustering of two-fold changed genes using GO classifications (Figure 4) showed that several major developmental processes such as mucilage synthesis and columella synthesis could be identified using this analysis. However, as large numbers of genes are present in each GO category, further selection as described here may be useful in selecting candidates for reverse-genetic analyses.

Differential Expression between Wild-Types

One interesting finding of the microarray experiments was the difference in the number of differentially expressed seed coat genes between the two wild-types. As both genotypes follow the same developmental program, it had been expected that similar numbers of genes would be expressed in each, and that the gene identities would be the same.

However, this was not the case, as there were large differences in the number of genes expressed (Figure 3B), with only approximately 50–60% of the genes from any two comparable Col-2 and Ws-2 lists overlapping at any of the three selected developmental points (Table 2). However, when all the expressed genes at these three stages are considered, the overlap is much higher, in accordance with the fact that both ecotypes develop a normal seed coat. These data suggest that the observed differences in gene expression between the two ecotypes relate to differences in the relative timing of seed coat differentiation due to differential growth/differentiation rates and not to major differences in the differentiation process itself.

Expression of *BAN* in the *tt16-1* Background

For the analysis of the microarray data, *BAN* was selected as a marker gene for comparing expression data between the microarray, the literature, and independent qPCR data in *tt16-1* with respect to Ws-2 at 3 DPA (Table 4). Unexpectedly, microarray data did not show a large decrease in expression in the *tt16-1* background, despite promoter studies that have shown the *BAN* promoter to be down-regulated in *tt16-1* (Nesi et al., 2002; Debeaujon et al., 2003) and qPCR data from this study that did indicate a significant down-regulation in the *tt16-1* background. Although the reason for this anomaly in the data is unclear, several possible explanations can be ruled out. First, the probe chosen for the array appears to function correctly because *BAN* expression levels are as expected in Ws-2, that is, expression is highest at 3 DPA, with significant down-regulation between 3 and 11 DPA (Table 1). Second, the problem is unlikely to lie with the staging of the biological sample or isolation of the mRNA, since qPCR results using the same RNA showed a large reduction in *BAN* expression in the *tt16-1* background and the expression of other endothelial-expressed genes examined was reduced as expected. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the probe designed for *BAN* in the AROS oligo array is not optimal for detecting hybridization signal.

Validation of Array Data Using qPCR

Overall, there is good agreement between the microarray and the qPCR, indicating that the microarray data are an authentic representation of gene expression in the RNA samples and should be a valuable resource for researchers interested in seed coat and endosperm development.

In the case of the genes where there is poor correlation (e.g. At2g41070 and At4g18425, Figure 6B), these genes do not show significant changes in expression between the stages examined, and therefore the observed variability likely illustrates that the array data are not accurate below the two-fold cut-off, as would be expected. This highlights the importance of independent validation of expression levels, especially when nearing the cut-off limits for significance. In addition, changes in expression as determined by more sensitive qPCR methods

were generally higher than those from the microarray but this is a common phenomena (Jiang and Deyholos, 2010).

Identification of Candidate Genes for Seed Coat Development

Screening for transparent testa mutants based on seed color or seed mucilage mutants based on the extrusion of seed mucilage upon imbibition did not yield any potential novel candidates. This may indicate the previous screens mutants have identified most of the genes that could be identified in this manner and that other mutants could be found only with more sensitive screening, namely biochemical assays. Mutations in individual genes involved in these processes may not show phenotypes due to redundancy. This hypothesis could be tested by making double or triple mutants using mutations in homologous genes that are both expressed in the target tissue. Finally, some genes with differential expression in the seed coat may not have been detected by our analysis because the peak of expression lies between the time points sampled. For example, one gene we have identified as having differential expression during seed coat development was not detected in the microarray because its peak expression was at 5 DPA, with low levels of expression at both 3 and 7 DPA (E. Esfandiari, G. Levesque-Tremblay, and G. Haughn, unpublished results).

New Insights into Seed Coat Development and Implications for Other Seed Systems

The data presented in this paper indicate that the developmental programs in the inner and outer integuments function relatively independently. This is supported both by morphological and histological analysis (Figures 1 and 2) and by examination of the expression of different genes in the two mutant backgrounds (Table 3). Although the expression of the genes examined indicates that epidermal/palisade and endothelial processes are independent, the possibility that expression of some epidermal/palisade genes is affected by loss of endothelial differentiation, or vice versa, cannot be ruled out. Important implications of these findings include the possibility of targeted genetic manipulation of individual cell layers in the seed coat to engineer desirable phenotypes, such as in crops, without affecting the other layers of the seed coat.

During this analysis, it was confirmed that neither *ap2-7* nor *tt16-1* are transcriptional null alleles because transcript of each gene was detected in its own mutant background (Table 3). It was also revealed that *AP2* expression is up-regulated in the *ap2-7* background between 3 and 7 DPA, suggesting that *AP2* either directly or indirectly autoregulates its own expression. Conversely, it does not seem that *TT16* autoregulates its own expression, as analogous increases in *TT16* transcript were not seen in the *tt16-1* background. This finding was supported by qPCR results that also indicate that *TT16* does not appear to be involved in regulating its own expression (Figure 6C and Supplemental Figure 2B).

These datasets are also valuable tools for other researchers and have been made publically available via a custom eFP interface (www.dotm.ca/efp/cgi-bin/efpWeb.cgi) and at the NCBI GEO depository (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE27857). In addition, the microarray data should be useful for identifying seed coat-specific promoters. Indeed, we have recently identified one such promoter using the data (Z. Jin, A. Abdeen, E. Esfandiari, T. Western, and G. Haughn, unpublished results).

Therefore, this work provides seed coat gene expression datasets, and provides a starting point for identification of putative candidate genes involved in seed coat development and promoter identification. Finally, as *Arabidopsis* is closely related to the economically important crop Canola (*Brassica napus*) and is a model plant species, the data generated here should also provide useful information for discovery of new gene targets with potential for improving seed coat characteristics in this and related crops.

METHODS

Plant Materials

ap2-7 was isolated from an EMS-mutagenized population in the Col-2 background (Kunst et al., 1989). *tt16-1* is a T-DNA insertion line in the *Ws-2* background (Nesi et al., 2002) that were received as a gift from Loïc Lepiniec, Seed Biology Laboratory, IJPB, INRA, France.

Seeds were germinated on AT minimal medium (Haughn and Somerville, 1986) in growth chambers at 20°C under continuous light (90–120 $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation (PAR)) and then transferred at 7–10 d after germination to prepared soil mix (Sunshine Mix 5, Sun Gro Horticulture Ltd, Seba Beach, Alberta, Canada) watered once with liquid AT medium, then grown under the same conditions as above.

For staging of siliques, open flowers (0 d post anthesis, DPA) were marked with non-toxic, water-soluble paint to allow the selection of developing siliques at precise ages. In the case of *ap2-7*, flowers were also hand pollinated using WT pollen at 0 DPA, as they produce little pollen and are somewhat infertile.

Tissue Preparation and RNA Extraction

Seed coat isolations were performed using a dissecting microscope and forceps. Gentle manipulation with fine forceps allowed the release of embryos from the micropylar end of the seed coat. Although flowers were staged to determine the developmental stage of the seed, the released embryo was also used to check that the correct stage was harvested. Isolated seed coats were cut into two pieces and, while holding the seed coat with forceps, the endosperm of early stages was washed away with a solution of 5% sucrose in 0.1% RNAlater solution (Ambion, Cat# AM7021). Replicates of dissected seed coats for each stage were collected in separate Eppendorf

tubes in dry ice and stored at -80°C before extraction. For 3-DPA samples, 40 seed coats were collected and, for 7 and 11-DPA samples, 20 seed coats were collected.

Total RNA was extracted from each seed coat sample using the RNAqueous Micro kit (Ambion, Catalog# 1927) with a minor modification as follows. The seed coat tissues were crushed completely with a plastic pestle in Eppendorf tubes in 250 μl lysis buffer, then centrifuged at 13 200 rpm for 2 min. 200 μl of supernatant was removed to a new Eppendorf tube and the extraction was completed according to the kit protocol. The resulting RNA was used both for microarray hybridization and qPCR.

RNA Amplification, RNA Labeling and Microarray Hybridization, Array Scanning, and Data Processing

mRNA amplification was carried out using the MessageAmp aRNA kit (Ambion, Catalog# 1750) according to the supplied protocol. During the second round of *in vitro* transcription, aminoallyl-UTP was incorporated into the newly synthesized aRNA by including 3 μl of aminoallyl-UTP (50 mM) plus 2 μl of UTP (75 mM) in the reaction instead of 4 μl of UTP.

The aRNA was purified before NHS ester Cy3 and Cy5 dyes were chemically coupled with the modified aRNA base. aRNA labeling and array hybridization were performed using the protocol of Wellmer et al. (2004).

Hybridized arrays were scanned with a Genepix 4000B microarray scanner (Axon Instruments, CA, USA) at 10- μm resolution and 100% laser power using a dual laser scanning system that acquires and displays both wavelength channels as a ratio image in real time. The scanner was set to scan each line twice and to average the value obtained. PMT values were automatically balanced by scanning the slides three times and calculating PMT values so that similar red and green overall intensities were obtained. The percentage of spots with saturated pixels was set to $<0.25\%$. The image analysis was performed using GenePix Pro version 6.0 software (Axon Instruments, CA, USA). After automatic alignment, feature indicators were manually moved, resized, and fine-tuned. Features that failed the background threshold criteria were flagged using a quality control filter so they could be removed from the analysis. The local background corrected spot fluorescence intensities for the Cy5 and Cy3 channels were saved as .gpr files. These .gpr files were used as the starting point for statistical analysis and were also analyzed using GeneSpring GX 7.3.1 (Agilent Technologies, Inc.) to produce Figure 5.

Details of the microarray design and the complete set of .gpr files are available at www.dotm.ca/microarray/datla-haughn.html. Data have also been submitted to the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (Edgar et al., 2002; Barrett et al., 2011) and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE27857 (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE27857).

Statistical Methods and Microarray Data Analysis

The Cy3 and Cy5 channels within each array were calibrated using Huber's variance stabilization normalization algorithm

and a difference statistic was calculated for each gene for each array hybridization (Huber et al., 2002). As all intensities were high, this difference statistic corresponded to the log-ratio. For each gene, a linear regression model was then applied to the data across all 68 arrays in the experiment to estimate the expected expression and variance in expression for each genotype (*ap2-7*, *Col-2*, *tt16-1*, *Ws-2*) at each time point (3, 7, 11 DPA), and the covariance between expressions for each mutant/time point pair was also estimated (Chambers, 1992). These three estimates (expected expression, variance in expression, and covariance) were then used to compute the expression difference and its standard error, both between genotypes at the same time point and between time points within a genotype. A *t*-test was performed to calculate the *p*-value (the probability that the observed difference occurred by chance alone) for each comparison of interest for each gene. The false discovery rate (*q*-value) was calculated and used to correct for significant differences in gene expression that occur due to chance alone when performing multiple comparisons (Storey, 2002). As well as computing the difference in gene expression with standard error, *p*-value, and *q*-value for each gene, the estimated fold change with a 95% CI was also calculated. Graphics showing degree of differential expression were also produced.

Those genes for which at least one comparison of interest had a *q*-value less than 0.05 and a fold change greater than 2.0 in magnitude either up or down were selected for clustering. This set of 8714 genes was clustered using the divisive hierarchical clustering method DIANA (Kaufman and Rousseeuw, 1990). Data were presented as a heatmap as well as a text file detailing gene order. In addition, lists of significantly up or down-regulated genes (defined as more than two-fold change in expression) for comparisons of interest were generated.

Further manipulation of these gene lists was carried out using the Classification Superviewer to identify trends in gene expression patterns (Provart and Zhu, 2003), and SmartDraw software to perform Venn analysis (www.smartdraw.com). The normalized values were also formatted for insertion into a MySQL database behind the eFP browser interface using a custom perl script.

Quantitative PCR Methods and Analysis

Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in optical 48-well plates using the StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). SYBR® Green used to monitor dsDNA synthesis. Reactions contained 5 µl 2xSYBR® Green Master Mix reagent (Applied Biosystems), 1 ng cDNA and 200 mM of each gene-specific primer in a final volume of 10 µl. The following standard thermal profile was used for all PCR: 50°C for 2 min; 95°C for 10 min; 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s; and 60°C for 1 min. β -6-tubulin (AT5G12250F, 5'-ACCACTCTAGCTTTGGTGATCTG-3'; AT5G12250R, 5'-AGGTTCACTGCGAGCTTCCTCA-3') was used as an endogenous reference. Data were analyzed using the StepOne™ Real-Time PCR System version 1.0 (Applied Biosystems).

Seed Coat Morphology

Mature, dry seeds were immobilized on glass microscope slides using double-sided sticky tape before being viewed and photographed using a dissecting microscope.

Developing seeds were fixed with 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde (Canemco, Lachine, Quebec, Canada) in 0.5 M sodium phosphate, pH 7. Post-fixation with 1% (v/v) osmium tetroxide, dehydration, embedding, and sectioning were as described by Western et al. (2000). Thick sections (0.2–0.5 µm) were stained with 1% (w/v) Toluidine Blue O in 1% (w/v) sodium borate, pH 11, and photographed using a Zeiss AxioScop light microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany).

Digital photographs were manipulated with Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Photosystems, Mountain View, CA) to prepare figures.

Mucilage Mutant Screens

Mucilage release from mature seeds was investigated using Ruthenium Red (Sigma-Aldrich) staining. For staining without shaking, seeds were hydrated for 1 h in water, then the water was carefully removed without disturbing the seeds, and the seeds stained for 1 h in 0.01% (w/v) Ruthenium Red before being viewed. Both steps were performed without shaking. For staining with shaking, the same procedure was followed except that seeds were shaken during hydration with water and during staining with Ruthenium Red. All samples were mounted in water and viewed using a dissecting microscope.

Digital photographs were manipulated with Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Photosystems, Mountain View, CA) to prepare figures.

SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary Data are available at *Molecular Plant Online*.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the Genome Canada and Genome Alberta project 'Designing Oilseeds for Tomorrow's Market' to G.W.H., G.S. and R.D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Loïc Lepiniec for the gift of *tt16-1* seeds, and Mathieu Cloutier and Edwin Wang for help with processing the microarray data. We also thank the staff at the University of British Columbia Biolmaging facility for invaluable help with microscopy. No conflict of interest declared.

REFERENCES

- Abrahams, S., Lee, E., Walker, A.R., Tanner, G.J., Larkin, P.J., and Ashton, A.R. (2003). The *Arabidopsis* *TDS4* gene encodes leucoanthocyanidin dioxygenase (*LDOX*) and is essential for proanthocyanidin synthesis and vacuole development. *Plant J.* 35, 624–636.

- Albert, S., Delseny, M., and Devic, M. (1997). *BANYULS*, a novel negative regulator of flavonoid biosynthesis in the *Arabidopsis* seed coat. *Plant J.* **11**, 289–299.
- Arsovski, A.A., Haughn, G.W., and Western, T.L. (2010). Seed coat mucilage cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana* as a model for plant cell wall research. *Plant Signalling Behaviour.* **5**, 796–801.
- Barrett, T., et al. (2011). NCBI GEO: archive for functional data sets—10 years on. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **39**, D1005–D1010.
- Beeckman, T., De Rycke, R., Viane, R., and Inzé, D. (2000). Histological study of seed coat development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J. Plant Res.* **113**, 139–148.
- Buchanan-Wollaston, V., et al. (2005). Comparative transcriptome analysis reveals significant differences in gene expression and signalling pathways between developmental and dark/starvation-induced senescence in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* **42**, 567–585.
- Chambers, J.M. (1992). Linear models. In *Statistical Models*, Chambers, J.M., Hastie, T.J., eds (Pacific Grove: S. Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole), pp. 144–195.
- Chen, W.J., Chang, S.H., Hudson, M.E., Kwan, W.-K., Li, J., Estes, B., Knoll, D., Shi, L., and Zhu, T. (2005). Contribution of transcriptional regulation to natural variations in *Arabidopsis*. *Genome Biol.* **6**, R32.
- Dean, G.H., et al. (2007). The *Arabidopsis MUM2* gene encodes a β -Galactosidase required for the production of seed coat mucilage with correct hydration properties. *Plant Cell.* **19**, 4007–4021.
- Debeaujon, I., Nesi, N., Perez, P., Devic, M., Grandjean, O., Caboche, M., and Lepiniec, L. (2003). Proanthocyanidin-accumulating cells in *Arabidopsis* testa: regulation of differentiation and role in seed development. *Plant Cell.* **15**, 2514–2531.
- Devic, M., Guilleminot, J., Debeaujon, I., Bechtold, N., Bensaude, E., Koornneef, M., Pelletier, G., and Delseny, M. (1999). The *BANYULS* gene encodes a DFR-like protein and is a marker of early seed coat development. *Plant J.* **19**, 387–398.
- Edgar, R., Domrachev, M., and Lash, A.E. (2002). Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene expression and hybridisation array data repository. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **30**, 207–210.
- Gallardo, K., Firnhaber, C., Zubert, H., Héricher, D., Belghazi, M., Henry, C., Küster, H., and Thompson, R. (2007). A combined proteome and transcriptome analysis of developing *Medicago truncatula* seeds. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics.* **6**, 2165–2179.
- Haughn, G.W., and Chaudhury, A. (2005). Genetic analysis of seed coat development in *Arabidopsis*. *Trends Plant Sci.* **10**, 472–477.
- Haughn, G.W., and Somerville, C. (1986). Sulfonylurea-resistant mutants of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular General Genetics.* **204**, 430–434.
- Huber, H., von Heydebreck, A., Suelzman, H., Pouska, A., and Vingron, M. (2002). Variance stabilization applied to microarray data calibration and to the quantification of differential expression. *Bioinformatics.* **18**, S96–S104.
- Jiang, Y., and Deyholos, M. (2010). Transcriptome analysis of secondary-wall-enriched seed coat tissues of canola (*Brassica napus* L.). *Plant Cell Rep.* **29**, 327–342.
- Jofuku, K.D., Denboer, B.G.W., Montague, M.V., and Okamoto, J.K. (1994). Control of *Arabidopsis* flower and seed development by the homeotic gene *APETALA2*. *Plant Cell.* **6**, 1211–1225.
- Kaufman, L., and Rousseeuw, P.J. (1990). *Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction to Cluster Analysis* (New York: Wiley).
- Koornneef, M. (1981). The complex syndrome of TTG mutants. *Arabidopsis Information Service.* **18**, 45–51.
- Koornneef, M., Dellaert, L.W.M., and Vanderveen, J.H. (1982). EMS-induced and radiation-induced mutation frequencies at individual loci in *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L) Heynh. *Mutation Res.* **93**, 109–123.
- Kunst, L., Klenz, J.E., Martinez-Zapater, J., and Haughn, G.W. (1989). *AP2* gene determines the identity of perianth organs in flowers of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell.* **1**, 1195–1208.
- Le, B.H., et al. (2010). Global analysis of gene activity during *Arabidopsis* seed development and identification of seed-specific transcription factors. *PNAS.* **107**, 8063–8070.
- Lepiniec, L., Debeaujon, I., Routaboul, J.M., Baudry, A., Pourcel, L., Nesi, N., and Caboche, M. (2006). Genetics and biochemistry of seed flavonoids. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* **57**, 405–430.
- Macquet, A., Ralet, M.-C., Loudet, O., Kronenberger, J., Mouille, G., Marion-Poll, A., and North, H.M. (2007). A naturally occurring mutation in an *Arabidopsis* accession affects a β -D-galactosidase that increases the hydrophilic potential of rhamnogalacturonan I in seed mucilage. *Plant Cell.* **19**, 3990–4006.
- Nakaune, S., Yamada, K., Kondo, M., Kato, T., Tabata, S., Nishimura, M., and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2005). A vacuolar processing enzyme, δ VPE, is involved in seed coat formation at the early stage of seed development. *Plant Cell.* **17**, 876–887.
- Nesi, N., Debeaujon, I., Jond, C., Pelletier, G., Caboche, M., and Lepiniec, L. (2000). The *TT8* gene encodes a basic helix-loop-helix domain protein required for expression of *DFR* and *BAN* Genes in *Arabidopsis* siliques. *Plant Cell.* **12**, 1863–1878.
- Nesi, N., Debeaujon, I., Jond, C., Stewart, A., Jenkins, G.I., Caboche, M., and Lepiniec, L. (2002). The TRANSPARENT TESTA16 locus encodes the *ARABIDOPSIS* BSISTER MADS domain protein and is required for proper development and pigmentation of the seed coat. *Plant Cell.* **14**, 2463–2479.
- Nesi, N., Jond, C., Debeaujon, I., Caboche, M., and Lepiniec, L. (2001). The *Arabidopsis TT2* gene encodes an R2R3 MYB domain protein that acts as a key determinant for proanthocyanidin accumulation in developing seed. *Plant Cell.* **13**, 2099–2114.
- Pang, J.-S., He, M.-Y., and Liu, B. (2004). Construction of the seed-coat cDNA microarray and screening of differentially expressed genes in Barley. *Acta Biochimica Biophysica Sinica.* **36**, 695–700.
- Pang, Y., Peel, G.J., Sharma, S.B., Tang, Y., and Dixon, R.A. (2008). A transcript profiling approach reveals an epicatechin-specific glucosyltransferase expressed in the seed coat of *Medicago truncatula*. *PNAS.* **105**, 14210–14215.
- Penfield, S., Meissner, R.C., Shoue, D.A., Carpita, N.C., and Bevan, M.W. (2001). *MYB61* is required for mucilage deposition and extrusion in the *Arabidopsis* seed coat. *Plant Cell.* **13**, 2777–2791.
- Provart, N.J., and Zhu, T. (2003). A browser-based functional classification SuperViewer for *Arabidopsis* genomics. *Curr. Computational Mol. Biol.* **2003**, 271–272.

- Schmid, M., Davison, T.S., Henz, S.R., Pape, U.J., Demar, M., Vingron, M., Schölkopf, B., Weigel, D., and Lohmann, J.U. (2005). A gene expression map of *Arabidopsis thaliana* development. *Nature Genetics*. **37**, 501–506.
- Shirley, B.W., Kubasek, W.L., Storz, G., Bruggemann, E., Koornneef, M., Ausubel, F.M., and Goodman, H.M. (1995). Analysis of *Arabidopsis* mutants deficient in flavonoid biosynthesis. *Plant J.* **8**, 659–671.
- Skinner, D.J., and Gasser, C. (2009). Expression-based discovery of candidate ovule development regulators through transcriptional profiling of ovule mutants. *BMC Plant Biol.* **9**, 29.
- Storey, J.D. (2002). A direct approach to false discovery rates. *J. Royal Statistical Society, Series B.* **64**, 479–498.
- Usadel, B., Kuschinsky, A.M., Rosso, M.G., Eckermann, N., and Pauly, M. (2004). *RHM2* is involved in mucilage pectin synthesis and is required for the development of the seed coat in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **134**, 286–295.
- Wellmer, F., Riechmann, J.L., Alves-Ferreira, M., and Meyerowitz, E.M. (2004). Genome-wide analysis of spatial gene expression in *Arabidopsis* flowers. *Plant Cell.* **116**, 1314–1326.
- Western, T.L., Burn, J., Tan, W.L., Skinner, D.J., Martin-McCaffrey, L., Moffatt, B.A., and Haughn, G.W. (2001). Isolation and characterization of mutants defective in seed coat mucilage secretory cell development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* **127**, 998–1011.
- Western, T.L., Skinner, D.J., and Haughn, G.W. (2000). Differentiation of mucilage secretory cells of the *Arabidopsis* seed coat. *Plant Physiol.* **122**, 345–356.
- Western, T.L., Young, D.S., Dean, G.H., Tan, W.L., Samuels, A.L., and Haughn, G.W. (2004). *MUCILAGE-MODIFIED4* encodes a putative pectin biosynthetic enzyme developmentally regulated by *APE-TALA2*, *TRANSPARENT TESTA GLABRA1*, and *GLABRA2* in the *Arabidopsis* seed coat. *Plant Physiol.* **134**, 296–306.
- Winter, D., Vinegar, B., Nahal, H., Ammar, R., Wilson, G.V., and Provart, N.J. (2007). An 'Electronic Fluorescent Pictograph' browser for exploring and analyzing large-scale biological data sets. *PLoS ONE.* **2**, e718.
- Zhang, C., Barthelson, R.A., Lambert, G.A., and Galbraith, D.W. (2008). Global characterization of cell-specific gene expression through fluorescence-activated sorting of nuclei. *Plant Physiol.* **147**, 30–40.